ABSTRACT

We report a set of labelling criteria which have been developed to label prosodic events in clear, continuous speech, and propose a scheme whereby this information can be transcribed in a machine readable format. We have chosen to annotate prosody in a syllabic domain which is synchronised with a phonemic segmentation. A procedural definition of syllables based on the grouping of phones is presented. The criteria for hand labelling the prominence of each syllable, tone-unit boundaries and the pitch movement associated with each accented syllable, are described. Work to automate this process is presented and experimental results evaluating its performance are included.

1. INTRODUCTION

The need for a large corpus of prosodically labelled English speech is motivated by the use of prosodic events in training speech synthesisers, in automated foreign language pronunciation teaching, and to aid parsers used in speech recognition to disambiguate phonetically similar, but syntactically different utterances.

Speech synthesis requires a mapping from prosodic events to a set of acoustic parameters for their realisation. Parsers and the analysis of language pronunciation, on the other hand, require the reverse mapping to provide descriptors for the acoustic correlates of prosody, and semantic and pragmatic knowledge to be extracted from these correlates. The prosodic labelling of a language corpus must therefore annotate both the linguistically significant features in speech prosody and the inflections of the acoustic parameters.

We aim to transcribe sentential stress (the prominence of syllables in continuous speech) and the pitch movement associated with any accented syllables for such systems. By initially hand labelling these prosodic aspects, a set of acoustic features are sought which will form a mapping for speech synthesis, and at the same time, enable these prosodic events to be labelled automatically given the acoustic features, for parsers and language pronunciation description. The transcription system we propose is intended to be an annotation scheme for linguistically significant prosodic events in English. It is not designed to give a detailed description of every possible inflection in an F0 contour. The set of symbols (see table 1) is designed for use by both a hand transcriber of the prosodic events and for some automated procedure.

The labelling scheme described has been used to transcribe, by hand, prosodic events in a database of 453 utterances from the English language ATR conference-registration dialogues with focus\(^1\). An acoustic analysis of these labels attempts to establish a correlation between a set of features chosen to characterise the acoustic parameters believed to manifest prosody, and the perceived prosodic events that are transcribed.

Continuous speech is initially segmented into phone units and labelled using a HMM-based automatic segmenter (evaluated in [18]). The phones identified are grouped into syllables. Syllable boundaries are thus synchronised with the phone boundaries. The procedure employed to group phones into syllables is described in section II. Each syllable is labelled by hand as unaccented, stressed (but not accented), stressed and accented (but not nuclear), or as the nuclear accented syllable of a tone-unit. Each syllable immediately preceding a tone-unit boundary is also marked, in order to specify the boundary location. The nuclear accented syllable of a tone-unit is (according to the “British School” of intonational phonology) the final accented syllable in that tone-unit [4]. This definition of nuclear syllables and the criteria used to determine syllable prominence are addressed in section III. Each accented syllable is associated with an additional label that describes the pitch contour movement which marked it. Thus, pitch contour labelling is also synchronised with syllable boundaries. The time location of this movement may occur before, during and subsequent to the domain of the accented syllable. Pitch contour labelling criteria are described in section IV. In section V a set of acoustic features are proposed which we intuitively feel will describe the acoustic correlates of sentential stress. These acoustic features are used to form a tree-based statistical model for a small corpus of hand labelled prosodic events. This methodology is described in section VI. Its application reveals a low correlation between the acoustic features and the events labelled, which poses questions regarding the relationship between the theory and the acoustics of sentential stress. These are discussed in section VII.

II. SYLLABIFICATION

The following procedural definition is used for syllabification.

i) Phones are grouped into syllables on a phonological rather than phonetic basis. Consontantal phones (such as [m, n, l, r, s]) which may result in schwa deletion [9, pp.297-299] [5] and take on the syllabic nucleus, are therefore syllabified as if the vowels were present. Hence, shortest in rapid speech is syllabified as /ʃə – ʃtʃ/ , and additional as /aʊ – ɹdɪʃ – ʃ ʊ/ . A glottal stop that may occur before or instead of a word-final stop is treated as an instance of the underlying stop phone, and any glottalised onset to vowels is considered to be part of the vowel.

ii) Syllable boundaries are formed from the boundaries of words considered in isolation. Although in continuous speech, consonants at the end of one word can syllabify with the initial vowel of the following word [13], such re-syllabification is not necessary in forming a domain in which to describe prosodic events. Thus, for example, the syllabification of at all differs to that of a tall even if /ə/ is aspirated in both cases and they are phonetically identical. This approach has been adopted because the exact boundaries between syllable nuclei are not of critical im-

\(^1\)The ATR dialogues where spoken by a female bilingual speaker of Japanese and American English.
iii. The boundaries between syllables are also determined by the presence of a morphological boundary. The boundary between two free morphemes and an inflectional suffix (except -s) or a class-II derivational suffix is taken to be a syllabic boundary. Thus, hopeless is syllabified as /'həʊpsəl/ rather than /'həʊp-əl/; and uninteresting is syllabified as /'ʌnɪn'tərəstɪŋ/ rather than /'ʌnɪn-ˌtərəstɪŋ/.

iv. On the basis of English phonotactics, any cluster of phones forming the onset or the coda of a syllable must also be a permissible word-initial or word-final cluster. According to this rule, extra may be syllabified as /'ekstrə/ or /'eks-trə/. However, in cases where alternative boundaries are possible, stressed syllables tend to attract consonants more than unstressed ones, particularly in the case of ambisyllabic consonants such as /t, f/ [7, pp.19-23]. When this final criterion is applied, the syllabification adopted for the example becomes /'eks-trə/.

III. SENTENTIAL STRESS LABELLING

The salience of each syllable within an utterance is labelled as one of {u, s, a, n} (see table 1) on the following basis.

Sententially stressed syllables are those that are perceived as salient due to a prominence of energy and/or duration and/or pitch [6] [2] within an utterance. The default (and therefore intonationally unmarked) pitch movement in English is a slight downwards trend in pitch [4, 11]. This movement does not give any intonational prominence to the syllable within the declination, even if that syllable is stressed on the grounds of prominent duration and/or intensity. The same situation occurs if a stressed but unaccented syllable is one in a series of gently rising pitch movements. When there is no pitch discontinuity, there is no accent [4]. An accentuated syllable must also be a stressed syllable and an accompanying pitch movement must occur during the accented syllable or on a syllable before or subsequent to the perceived accented syllable [8].

Each tone-unit of an utterance will have one peak of prominence in the form of a nuclear pitch movement. The nuclear accented syllable is the syllable on which the one obligatory pitch movement occurs in a tone-unit. This is traditionally believed to be the final accented syllable in a tone-unit [15]. At present, we make use of this traditional definition.

Tone-unit boundaries are marked by placing a diacritic {;} on the label {u, s, a, n} of the syllable immediately preceding the boundary. The tone-unit boundaries are identified by two phonetic features [4, pp.204-207]. Firstly, the presence of junctural features, such as slight pauses, final lengthening and rhythmic discontinuities, can signal the end of a tone-unit. However, a pause does not necessarily correspond with a tone-unit boundary in spontaneous speech, particularly in cases of disfluency. Secondly, given that the first prominent syllable, for the majority of tone-units in an utterance, is of approximately the same pitch level [4], the boundary may be signaled by some perceiveable pitch change. This change can be either a step up from a falling pitch movement, or a step down from a rising pitch movement. It may be difficult to identify such pitch resets when the tone-unit onset

### ASCII Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASCII</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>(u)</td>
<td>Completely unaccented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>Stressed but unaccented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>Stressed and accented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>Nuclear accented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

{pipe} ({;}) — syllable immediately preceding a tone-unit boundary

\ — (\) — pitch accent is a full
/ — (/) — pitch accent is a rise
v — (\) — accent is a full-rise
• hat (\) — accent is a rise-fall
l — (l) — level tone
s — (s) — pitch movement is part of the realization of an accented syllable to the left of this syllable
> — (>) — pitch movement is part of the realization of an accented syllable to the right of this syllable
- minus (\) — the range of the pitch movement is unusually wide (increased)
 underscore (\) — the range of the pitch movement is unusually narrow (decreased)
* apostrophe (\) — pitch “peak” or level tone pitch is unusually high
, comma (\) — pitch “peak” or level tone pitch is unusually low
[ — (l) — initial part of {V} or {A} pitch movement is shallow
] — (l) — final part of {V} or {A} pitch movement is shallow

The ASCII characters listed are the prosodic label used in machine readable data.

is low and the final accent of the previous tone-unit ends with a pitch fall, or the onset is high and follows a tone-unit whose final accent ends with a rise in pitch.

IV. PITCH MOVEMENT LABELLING

The pitch contour of an utterance is labelled as a series of pitch movements at (or near) each accented syllable. A pitch movement is either a continuous pitch glide, for example over a long vocalic section of speech, or a discrete pitch jump from one level to another over a series of syllables. Each pitch movement in an utterance is labelled as one of the five categories {\, /, v, A, -} (see table 1).

A description is associated with each and every syllable labelled as accented (or nuclear accented) to mark the direction of pitch movement on this and any following unaccented syllables. These labels should only be time aligned with an unstressed or an accented (nuclear or otherwise) syllable {u, a, n}, but not with a stressed (but unaccented) syllable {s}. (Any stressed syllable corresponding with a time aligned pitch movement label should be marked as an accented syllable.) If the pitch movement is aligned with an unstressed syllable {s}, a diacritic is applied to the pitch movement label in order to indicate whether the pitch movement is part of the realization of the nearest accented syllable {u, a, n} to the left (-) or the nearest one to the right (->). There may be more than one pitch movement associated with an accented syllable; for example, if there is a rise-fall pitch movement in the realization of an accented syllable but the rise occurs on a preceding unstressed syllable and the fall occurs on a succeeding unstressed syllable. The use of these diacritics enables the inflections of the F0 contour to be described while maintaining a
movement in a pitch glide and the difference between zero pitch jump, but not for level tone. If the pitch range is distinctively wider or narrower than expected for a particular contrastive effect, it is marked with a diacritic (⟨−⟩) on the pitch direction labels. Diacritics are also applied to these labels if the "peak" part of a pitch movement (the initial part of a fall ⟨⟩), the final part of a rise ⟨⟩, and the mid-section of a fall-rise or rise-fall ⟨⟩ or the pitch of a level tone (⟨⟩) is unusually high (⟨Δ⟩) or low (⟨Δ⟩) for the particular speaker. In order to describe occurrences of pitch fall-rise and rise-fall with a particularly shallow rise or shallow fall, two further diacritics are included. These are used to represent, for example, fall-shallow rise as ⟨⟩.

V. ACOUSTIC FEATURES

A set of acoustic features must be extracted from the raw speech waveform in order to automatically identify syllable prominence and pitch movements. In our preliminary stages of producing an automatic prosodic labelling algorithm, eighteen features are used to describe what we believe to be the acoustic correlates of stress (duration, intensity, and fundamental frequency).

The energy and fundamental frequency of the speech waveform (sampled at 20kHz) are measured for 20ms frames of speech at 5ms intervals so that values are synchronised with the cepstral coefficients and lower three formant frequencies used in the auto-segmentation process. The fundamental frequency (F0) is determined using a slightly enhanced version of the pitch tracker described in [14]. In order to measure the signal energy, each frame is passed through a Blackman-Harris window and an amplitude spectrum is calculated using a 512-point FFT. The total energy for the frequency range of 50Hz-2kHz is determined by summation of the corresponding frequency bins. Each frame energy value is then expressed in decibels with respect to the maximum frame energy for the utterance. This process forms an utterance-normalised sonorant energy contour. Both the raw F0 contour and the energy contour are smoothed using a 3-point non-linear median filter and a 5-point hanning window [17].

The phone given by auto-segmentation which forms the nucleus of a given syllable is identified by the following procedure. The phones in the syllable are split into two groups on the basis of whether or not they are a member of the set of vocalic phones and potentially syllabic consonantal phones (currently, all vowels plus [l, m, n, r]). Each phone is associated with the maximum sonorant energy within its tenure. If there are phones in the syllable which are members of this set, then the one whose associated energy is greatest, is selected as the syllable nucleus. Otherwise, none of the syllable phones are [vowel, l, m, n, r] and the phone with the greatest maximum sonorant energy is selected. The duration associated with any syllable is determined by measuring the duration of its nuclear phone – this will be referred to as the "syllable duration". Using the duration of the entire syllable or the duration of all consecutive sonorants in the syllable as this measure has not yet been investigated.

Each syllable in an utterance is characterised by the maximum sonorant energy within its tenure (syllable energy), its "syllable duration", the maximum F0 value within its tenure, the F0 values at the beginning and at the end of the syllable, and an F0 slope in Hz per second which describes the rate of change in F0 through any voiced regions of the syllable. The syllable energy and "syllable duration" are Z-score normalised to eliminate phone-specific effects [1]. For each phone type, the mean and population standard deviation of the syllable energy/duration is determined. Then, for each token of that phone type, the syllable energy/duration is normalised by subtracting the mean and dividing by the population standard deviation. Hence, for each syllable, there are six acoustic features extracted – phone-normalised duration, phone-normalised energy, maximum F0, start-time F0, stop-time F0, and F0 slope. In automatically establishing the prominence of any syllable in an utterance, these six features for the current, previous and next syllable are used, giving a total of eighteen features per syllable.

The F0 features are also normalised so that each movement is independent of its absolute F0 values. Our intuitions suggest that F0 change is the significant factor, not the absolute F0 values. Normalisation of the nine F0 parameters (the maximum F0, start-time F0, and stop-time F0 for the current, previous and next syllables), is performed by determining the minimum value of these parameters and subtracting it from each. The change in F0 through the syllables is therefore described independently of the absolute height of the F0 movement.

VI. APPLICATION OF A TREE-BASED STATISTICAL MODEL

The sentential stress and pitch movements associated with accented syllables have been hand labelled in the ATR database of 453 utterances using the symbols given in table 1. The prosodic transcription was done by only one labeller.

The automatic prosodic labelling algorithm is still in its infancy and so the acoustic features described in section V are being used only to identify any given syllable in an utterance as either unstressed, stressed or accented (nuclear or otherwise). Distinguishing pitch movement types has not yet been incorporated.

The acoustic features are used as parameters to a tree-based statistical model (using ‘S’ [2]). The model is trained on all but one of the utterances in the database. The tree classifies each hand-transcribed sentential stress label on the basis of the
VI. DISCUSSION

The misclassification error rate of 26.6% is quite promising given that the selection of the acoustic features that have been used is based on intuition. This, however, may not be the only contributing factor to erroneous classifications. It could be that the acoustic features are in fact closely related to the prosodic events labelled, but that the tree-based statistical model is not the most appropriate method to classify these events given the acoustic features (this is supported by the considerable difference between tables 2 & 3). Alternatively, the acoustic features presented could be insufficient to characterise the prosodic events. For example, it is likely that representing F0 movements across a three-syllable window is restrictive, given that such movements can clearly span many or part of syllables. It may be that the labelling scheme is an inadequate system for describing sentential stress and the pitch movements as perceived by the transcriber. This can be illustrated by the fact that sentential stress is not a simple binary distinction between stressed and unstressed. In ambiguous cases, the transcriber uses linguistic knowledge not evident in the acoustics. For example, the syllable in question will be marked as sententially stressed only if it can be lexically stressed. This may lead to ever occurrence of schwa being marked as unstressed regardless of the acoustic evidence. With such linguistic knowledge unavailable to the tree-based model, confusions will inevitably arise between the hand labels and automatic labels.

It is most likely that the classification errors are due to some combination of all these factors, although the extent to which any one factor effects the error rate is difficult to determine. The correct-classification rate of 73.4% is, however, close to the percentage of corresponding labels between two hand labels in the prosodic labelling of the Lancaster/IBM spoken English corpus, transcribers achieved 72% agreement for seven categories of sentential stress labels {\( \), \(/\), \(\), \(\), \(\), \(\), \(\)} and 83% agreement for the categories “accented”/“stressed”/“unstressed” [10].
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